Future of Computers: Exascale

- Characteristics of future computer systems:
  - multi-core processors with hundreds of cores/chip
  - performance driven by parallelism
  - subject to frequent faults and failures
- System size and node architecture expected to change dramatically
- Multiple memory types
- Worsening of Memory:GFLOPS ratio
- Challenges expected to be within the new node rather than across nodes
- Two options to utilize exascale system:
  - Current practice of MPI
  - Unified programming models at global level

Why Chapel?

- Cascade High-Productivity Language
- Four productivity goals:
  - Programmability - Portability
  - Performance - Robustness
- Provides a global view for expressing data structures and control flow of the program
- Operates under a multiresolution philosophy permitting users to initially write very abstract code and incrementally add more detail
- Parallel features most directly influenced by ZPL, HPF, and Cray MTA™/Cray XMT™ extensions to C and Fortran

Discussion

- Varying amounts of locales does not affect time
- Issue with caching when variable, sum, is not declared – faster time because value of sum is most likely stored in registers
- Cost associated with executing in multilocal directly from the command line vs. msb

Future Work

- Continue writing Chapel in HPCC benchmarks, such as HPL and PTRANS
- Explore meaning of uninode vs. multilocal
- Investigate purpose of using multiple locales
- Look at timings for larger numbers of locales
- Monitor as PGAS alternatives to the present programming model using MPI

Chapel Compiler:
The flag “—fast” turns off a number of runtime checks are enabled by default for safety, including checks for out-of-bounds array accesses, null pointer dereferences, and violations of locality assertions

Environmental Setup:
- Cab, UC Berkeley’s GASNet communication library, Chapel v1.4, Chaos 4 x86-64 bit

Matrix Multiplication Calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Version 1</th>
<th>Version 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>for j in 1..n { for k in 1..n { for l in 1..n { C(j,k) += A(j,l) * B(l,k); } } }</td>
<td>for j in 1..n { for k in 1..n { var sum: real = 0; for l in 1..n { sum += A(j,l) * B(l,k); } C(j,k) = sum; } }</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Time (s) for Matrix Multiplication Execution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># Locales</th>
<th>Version 1</th>
<th>Version 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.9928</td>
<td>11.1264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>17.8697</td>
<td>11.9178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.3857</td>
<td>12.387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>17.4369</td>
<td>11.9566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16.4915</td>
<td>11.6284</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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