CIS 422: Software Requirements

Stuart Faulk







Requirements Phase Goals

- What are the goals of the requirements phase?
- Standard definition: "*Establish* and *specify* precisely what the software must do without describing how to do it."
- *Establish* what to build be for starting to build it.
 - Make the "what decisions" explicitly before starting design ... not implicitly during design.
 - Make sure you build the system that's actually wanted/needed.
 - Allow the users a chance to comment before it's built.
- *Specify* in terms of an organized reference document the Software Requirements Specification (SRS)
 - Communicate the results of analysis
 - Provide a baseline reference document for developers and QA



Parts of the Requirements Phase

- Problem Analysis
 - The ("establish" part) also called "problem understanding, requirements exploration, etc
 - Goal is to understand precisely what problem is to be solved
 - Includes: Identify exact system purpose, who will use it, the constraints on acceptable solutions, and possible tradeoffs among conflicting constraints.
- Requirements Specification
 - Goal is to develop a technical specification the Software Requirements Specification (SRS)
 - SRS specifies exactly what is to be built, captures results of problem analysis, and characterizes the set of acceptable solutions to the problem.



Stakeholders

- For "large" developments, who has an interest in the content of the requirements specification (called stakeholders) and why?
- **Customers**: the requirements typically document what should be delivered and my provide the contractual basis for the development
- **Managers**: provides a basis for scheduling and a yardstick for measuring progress
- Software Designers: provides the "design-to" specification
- **Coders**: defines the range of acceptable implementations and is the final authority on the outputs that must be produced
- Quality Assurance: basis for validation, test planning, and verification
- Also: potentially Marketing, regulatory agencies, etc.





"The hardest single part of building a software system is deciding precisely what to build. No other part of the conceptual work is as difficult as establishing the detailed technical requirements...No other part of the work so cripples the resulting system if done wrong. No other part is as difficult to rectify later."F.P. Brooks, "No Silver Bullet: Essence and Accidents of Software Engineering"









What vs. How (2)

- One man's "what" is another's "how"
- Typically mixed together
 - "If the system cannot complete the transaction within 1 second, the data base must be rolled back to it's initial state and the customer notified"
 - "Update data associated with each aircraft being tracked by the low aperture radar must be contained in distinct packets on the primary data bus."
- Most systems contain more than one problem space (e.g., user interface requirements vs. accounting transaction requirements).
- Upshot: Must agree on what constitutes the problem space and what constitutes the solution space (before the discussion even makes sense)
 - Requirements analysis and specification then belong in the problem space
 - Future issues: Does your approach help obtain and maintain this distinction?



Essential vs. Accidental

• Thesis:

Meeting the requirements phase goals is essentially difficult - but not as difficult as we make it!

- Essential difficulties part of the essence of the problem
- Accidental difficulties difficulties introduced or added by the way we do things

*(not "essential" as in "needed" nor "accidental" as in "unintended") ©1998 Stuart Faulk



Essential Difficulties

• Communication

- People work (think) best with regular structures, conceptual coherence, and visualization
- Software's conceptual structures are complex, arbitrary, and difficult to visualize
- Requirements specification must do more than one job well
 - Specification serves many purposes (test-to, design-to, contractual, validation, etc.)
 - Specification has many audiences with different viewpoints, languages, knowledge (customer, designer, tester, regulator, etc.)



Essential Difficulties

• Inseparable Concerns

- Separation of concerns ability to divide a problem into *distinct* and relatively independent parts
- Many issues in requirements cannot be cleanly separated (I.e., decisions about one necessarily impact another)
 - Need for stability (control) vs. comprehension (need to see it)
 - · Formality vs. understanding
- Implications
 - Difficult to apply "divide and conquer"
 - Cannot achieve effective solutions considering problems in isolation
 - Issue with many "academic" techniques
 - Must make tradeoffs
 - Requires compromises where constraints conflict
 - Differing effects on different stakeholders imply negotiation



Accidental Difficulties

- Not designed to be useful
 - Often little effort is expended on the SRS and it shows
 - SRS is not expected to be useful a self-fulfilling prophesy
 - Little effort expended in organizing, writing, checking, managing, or evolving
 - · Inherent difficulties doom haphazard approaches to failure
 - Resulting document of limited usefulness
 - · Not organized as a technical reference difficult to look things up
 - · No effective procedure for determining consistency or completeness
 - · Difficult to make changes, keep consistent
 - Document is difficult to use, quickly out of date
- Lacking essential properties
 - For the SRS to serve its purposes effectively it must have certain properties: Completeness, Consistency, Ease of change, Precision...
 - Accidental difficulties lead to document that is redundant, inconsistent, unreadable, ambiguous, imprecise, inaccurate.
 - Not useful, not used.



Address Accidental Difficulties

- Written as an afterthought
 - Plan for and budget for the requirements phase and its products
 - Specification is carefully written, checked, and maintained
- Confused in purpose
 - Document purpose is defined in advance (who will use it and what for)
 - Plan is developed around the objectives
- Not designed to be useful
 - Specification document itself is designed to facilitate key activities
 - document users (e.g., answer specific questions quickly and easily)
 - document producers (e.g., ability to check for properties like consistency)
 - Designed to best satisfy its purpose given schedule and budget constraints
- · Lacking essential properties
 - Properties are planned for then built in
 - Properties are verified by the best means possible (review, automated checking, etc.)















Consistent means: no two statements of requirements conflict Why difficult to achieve? Accidental difficulty resulting from specifications that are hard to read and review. Often there are poorly documented or understood relationships between requirements. Essential: changes inevitably introduce inconsistencies over time

©1998 Stuart Faulk

<section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>

<section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>



Modifiable

- Modifiable means: the specification is relatively easy to change for the set of expected changes
- Why needed? Change disrupts everything.
- Principles
 - Change is inevitable plan on it, plan for it!
 - Process and products must support ease of change

Readable		
~~	Readable" means;	
	 The intended audience can readily understand the information in the specification 	
	- The intended audience can readily use the information for its intended purpose	
	(e.g., the customer can determine if the system will solve his problem)	
V	Vhy needed?	
	 An SRS typically has several purposes and audiences who must understand specification 	
	 Specification that's hard to read won't be properly reviewed or ultimately used 	
v v	Vhy difficult to achieve?	
	- Conflicts with need for precision, unambiguousness, testability	
	- Difficult to find common languages or points of view.	

Organized (for Reference and Review) We assume that the SRS is first and foremost a technical specification - i.e., it is a reference manual for the expected behavior. - It is not: an introduction, an explanation of intended use, a justification of the project • Organized means: One can use the specification answer specific technical questions quickly and easily. - It is clear where each piece of information belongs - It is clear where information is incomplete or missing. Why difficult? - Requires forethought - Requires up-front effort, time, and money - Essential problem of many audiences and purposes Principles • - One must decide the primary audience and purpose in advance (i.e., what kinds of questions the document will be designed to answer easily).







A Real Requirement

(2.16.3.f) While acting as the bus controller, the C&C MDM CSCI shall set the e,c,w indicator identified in Table 3.2.16-II for the corresponding RT to "failed" and set the failure statue to "failed" for all RT's on the bus upon detection of transaction errors of selected messages to RT's whose 1553 FDIR is not inhibited in the two consecutive processing frames within 100 millisec of detection of the second transaction error if; a backup BC is available, the BC has been switched in the last 20 sec., the SPD card reset capability is inhibited, or the SPD card has been reset in the last 10 major (10-second) frames, and either:

- 1. The transaction errors are from multiple RT's, the current channel has been reset within the last major frame, or
- 2. The transaction errors are form multiple RT's, the bus channel's reset
- capability is inhibited, and the current channel has not bee reset within the last major frame

Example of a NASA requirement for the Command and Control bus for the Space Station specifying exactly when all remote terminals on the bus should be switched to their backups

Q: Should the remote terminals be switched to their backups if the C&C MDM is acting as bus Controller, there are transaction errors in two consecutive frames, errors are on selected messages, the RT's 1553 FDIR is not inhibited, a backup BC is available, the BC has been switched on in the Last 20 seconds, transaction errors are from multiple RT's and the current channel has been reset in the last major frame?



Is a "Good" SRS Achievable? A qualified "yes" • - Mutual satisfaction of some goals is difficult - Want completeness but users don't know what they want and requirements change. - Many audiences and purposes, only one possible organization and language - Want formality (precision, verifiability, analyzability) but need readability. Tradeoffs and compromises are inevitable • - Usefulness of establishing document purpose in advance. - Make them by choice not chance! • It isn't easy - effort ex pertise - techniquebut it is necessary! ©1998 Stuart Faulk



Documentation Principles

- Write specifications for the document
 - Easiest way to ensure agreement on:
 - Intended audience(s)
 - Purpose(s) of the document
 - Document organization or design.
 - Keep design simple and reusable
 - Avoid starting another project in specification design
 - ...but, do enough to capture consensus and resolve dissention
- Characterize and formulate questions to be answered before starting to answer them
 - Avoids adding extraneous material
 - Avoids answering only the easy questions





Summary	
•	Requirements are hard to do well but
	 Any specification is better than none
	- Work that goes into requirements is very high leverage (cost ratio)
•	Decide in advance
	- Audience: who the document is for
	- Purpose: what the audience will use it for
•	Design the document to be useful over the long haul
	 to satisfy the purposes well
	- to be easy to keep up-to-date (easy to modify)
•	Make it part of the product development cycle
	- Document is as important as the code (perhaps more so)
	- Plan and budget for it, build to it, maintain it
•	Be as formal as possible
	- Use simple formalisms where possible
	 e.g., use prose to explain, tables and expressions to specify detailed behavior
	©1998 Stuart Faulk